

January 4, 1990

LB 939-968
LR 234

And that is not a valid, logical position. I don't think it is a reasonable legislative position and in order not to drag out the discussion on this resolution, that will be all I have to say except to reemphasize that I intend to vote against this resolution and I'll vote against others of similar stripe.

PRESIDENT: Senator Hannibal, would you like to close...Senator Lynch, your light came on. Senator Hannibal, would you like to close, please.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Chambers, I also have many thoughts running through my head, but I will exercise some constraint as well. I appreciate you pointing up some facts about the issue of what days are Nebraska citizens days and which days are days for all the people that we are elected to serve, and I agree with you wholeheartedly. Senator Smith, I'm not sure I really needed that much support saying that this resolution wasn't near as bad as some of them that we have, but I guess I'll take a vote whenever I can get it. Yes, it is true that each day that we meet in session, as a matter of fact, each day that we serve in the Legislature, is for all the citizens in Nebraska. That is my philosophy as well. However, I would suggest that we have many days that are proclaimed to be special for certain kinds of occasions and, in fact, certain individuals and that to say that because this all day should be for Nebraska citizens and not have a day that we proclaim as a special recognition would be tantamount in my estimation to saying that we shouldn't have a veterans' day because that implies that every other day is not a day that should be recognized for veterans and their service to us or any number of things that we do have. I believe that this is a gesture of recognition that we are here because of the citizens and we are here to serve the citizens and it's not near as bad a resolution as some of them we've passed and I would urge its adoption.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of the resolution. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, please.

CLERK: 15 ayes, 4 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of LR 234.

PRESIDENT: The resolution is adopted. We'll go on to number six, introduction of new bills.

CLERK: Mr. President, new bills. (Read by title for the first time, LBs 939-968. See pages 138-45 of the Legislative

January 4, 1990

LB 881-957, 997-1010
LR 229

If I may, Mr. President, I have a Reference Report referring LBs 881-957, and LR 229. (See pages 175-77 of the Legislative Journal.) And, Mr. President, new bills. (Read LBs 997-1010 by title for the first time. See pages 177-80 of the Legislative Journal.) Mr. President, that's all that I have at this time.

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Proceeding to the next item on...from the Rules Committee. Chairman Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. President, members, the next one is number nine identified on your list. It specifies that a motion to suspend the rules is not divisible. The reason for this, without reading it all but putting it hopefully in laymen's terms so we can understand it, is that when a motion to suspend the rules is attempted it's intended to accomplish only one thing. You don't suspend the rules to accomplish three, four, five or six different things. But, if the amendment that would accomplish one thing would, for example, suspend Rule 1, Section 2, Rule 2, Section 3, Rule 3, Section 4, because it's necessary to do that to identify those sections of the rules that serve that single purpose, you cannot divide the question and take any one of those three rule changes independently. I think, Mr. President and members, that explains the purpose and intent of this rule change and would suggest that we support it.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Discussion on the proposal...proposed change number nine? Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, let me tell you what the real purpose of this rule change is. There have been attempts at various times to suspend the rules so that there can be no debate or discussion or amendment on bills, and I have indicated that I would divide that question. So the purpose of the rule is to prevent that from happening. So however many things are put into a rule suspension will have to be taken as a package. In some instances you may have a situation where people will think and believe that you should be able to suspend the rules for the purpose of taking a vote without any additional debate, amendment and so forth. And maybe that is all right. Naturally, I'm opposed to it because

January 8, 1990

LB 503, 720A, 943, 945, 1043-1048
LR 236

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the advancement of the bill. It's a four-year phase-in to bring our caseworkers up to the standards and move the advancement of the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any discussion? Seeing none, those in favor of the advancement of the bill please vote aye, opposed nay. Please record.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 720A is advanced. New bills, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, new bills: (Read LBs 1043-1048 by title for the first time.) LR 236, Mr. President, is a proposed amendment to Article VIII, Section 1, of the Nebraska State Constitution. That is offered by Senator Withem. (See pages 209-12 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have requests from Senator Lynch to add his name to LB 503; Senator Pirsch to LB 945 and Senator Pirsch to LB 943. That's all that I have, Mr. President. (See page 212 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Withem, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR WITHEM: Mr. Speaker, I would move that we adjourn until Tuesday morning, January 9, at 9:00 a.m.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. You've heard the motion to adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. All in favor say aye. Opposed no. Ayes have it, motion carries, we are adjourned until nine tomorrow morning.

Proofed by:


LaVera Benischek

February 13, 1990 LB 159, 163A, 624, 642, 862, 923, 943
976, 1010, 1086, 1090, 1091, 1141, 1171
1180, 1195, 1197, 1238
LR 239

PRESIDENT: Mr. Clerk, do you have anything for the record?

CLERK: Mr. President, I do. A reminder, the Speaker would like to have a meeting of Committee Chairs tomorrow morning at eight-thirty, Committee Chairs tomorrow morning at eight-thirty in Room 2102.

Mr. President, your Committee on Education whose Chair is Senator Withem reports LB 1086 to General File, LB 1090 General File with amendments, LB 1195 General File, those signed by Senator Withem, and LB 1180 indefinitely postponed, LB 1197 indefinitely postponed. Urban Affairs reports LB 943 indefinitely postponed, LB 1171 indefinitely postponed, signed by Senator Hartnett. Banking reports LB 624 to General File, that signed by Senator Landis. (See pages 779-80 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, a series of priority bills designations. Senator Wesely as Chair of Health and Human Services selects LB 923, Senator Withem selects LR 239CA, Senator Warner selected LB 1141. General Affairs Committee selected LB 862 as one of its priority bills, that's offered by Senator Smith. Senator Dierks has selected LB 1238.

I have amendments to be printed to LB 163A by Senator Schimek. (See page 781 of the Legislative Journal.)

A confirmation report from the Education Committee. That is offered by Senator Withem.

A series of adds, Mr. President. Senator Weihing would like to add his name to LB 642, Senator McFarland to LB 1010, Senator Lowell Johnson to LB 976 and Senator Pirsch to LB 1091 and Senator Warner to LB 159, AM2372. That is all that I have, Mr. President. (See page 782 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Moore, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, Mr. President, I move we adjourn until 9:00 a.m., February 14, Valentine's Day.